

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tredemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
07/532,462	06/01/90	LOKHOFF	G	PHN13241 EXAMINER
THOMAS A. E	BRIODY		TON,	D UNIT PAPER NUMBER
C/O U.S.F INTELLECTUA 580 WHITE F	PHILIPS CORP. AL PROPERTY D PLAINS RD.	EPT.	l	503
TARRYTOWN, This is a communication from COMMISSIONER OF PATEN	NY 18591	our application.	DATE MAN	11/13/91
				_
This engineering her best	n examined Resi	pensive to communication filed	J on	This action is made final.
shortened statutory period allure to respond within the	for response to this active period for response will	on is set to expire3 cause the application to become	month(s).	ays from the date of this letter.
art I THE FOLLOWING	ATTACHMENT(S) ARE		. Notice re Patent Dr	rawing, PTO-948.
Notice of Art Cit	ed by Applicant, PTO-14	49. 4	Notice of Informal F	Patent Application, Form PTO-152
5. Information on H	low to Effect Drawing Ch	anges, PTO-1474. 6	. 🛘	
ert II SUMMARY OF A	CTION			
1. Claims	1-28			are pending in the applica
				are withdrawn from considera
3. Claims				
claims	-28			are rejected.
/				are objected to.
6. Claims				to restriction or election requirement.
7. This application	has been filed with infor	mal drawings under 37 C.F.R.	. 1.85 which are acceptable	e for examination purposes.
8. Formal drawing	s are required in respons	se to this Office action.		•
are 🖸 accept		(see explanation or Notice re		
examiner;	disapproved by the exam	uner (see explanation).		eve) been approved by the
11. The proposed	drawing correction, filed	, has	been 🖺 approved; 🗖 di	isapproved (see explanation).
Acknowledgent been filed in	ent is made of the claim n parent application, seri	for priority under U.S.C. 119. al no;	The certified copy has filed on	been received not been receive
13. Since this appl	ication annosars to be in	condition for allowance excep	nt for formal matters, prose-	cution as to the merits is closed in
accordance wi	th the practice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 4	53 O.G. 213.	

Serial No. 532,462

Art Unit 263

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the title of the invention, each of the lettered items should be preceded by the headings indicated below.

- (a) Title of the Invention.
- Cross-References to Related Applications (if any). (b)
- Statement as to rights to inventions made under Federally-sponsored research and development (if any).
- (d) Background of the invention.
 - Field of the Invention.
 - Description of the Related Art including 2. information disclosed under 37 C.F.R. 55 1.97-1.99.
- Summary of the Invention. (e)
- Brief Description of the Drawing.
- (p) Description of the Preferred Embodiment(s).
- (h) Claim(s).
- (i) Abstract of the Disclosure.
- Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format of 2. an Abstract of the Disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said", should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The drawings are objected to because all blocks should be 3.

Serial No. 532,462 Art Unit 263

labeled with descriptive legends. Correction is required.

4. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "for example" in claims 1 and 11 is vague and indefinite because it does not clearly indicate what's claimed?

In claim 1, "said signal" lacks antecedent basis. Is it a wide-band digital signal or a digital audio signal or what?

In claim 1, it is not clear what is meant by "which is constructed to generate a second digital signal and supply said signal to an output"?

The phrase "the number of information packet 🗃" is vague and indefinite.

In claim 1, line 27, "and in that" is not clear.

In claim 1, it is not clear what is meant by "the number of information packets in a number of the other frames is equal to p'+1 so as to exactly comply with the requirement that the average frame rate of the second digital signal should be substantially equal to FS/DS"?

The phrase "p' being the next lower integer following P" is not clear.

In claims 5, 6, 8, 13, 25 and 27-28, "(if present)" is not clear and the parenthesis should be removed.

Serial No. 532,462 Art Unit 263

. In claim 8, "said samples" lacks antecedent basis. Are they the samples of the subband signals or what?

In claims 11 and 12, "said subband" lacks antecedent basis. Is it a specific subband, subband signal, a second subband signal component or what?

In claim 15, it is not clear what is meant by "the scale-factor information is inserted in the third frame portion before the quantised subband signal components"?

~ In claim 16, "the (p'+1)-st information" is not clear.

In claim 24 and 26 "information" is not clear what is information preferred to.

Claims 2-4, 7, 9-10, 14 and 17-23 are rejected since they depend from claim 1.

- 5. Claims 1-28 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Brusewitz, Crouse et al. and Beraud et al. are all cited to show a method and means for variable length coding or a table controlled dynamic bit allocation in a variable rate sub-band speech coder which is considered pertinent to the claimed invention.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang Ton whose telephone number is (703) 308-0465.

Serial No. 532,462

Art Unit 263

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0962.

D. TON:1m // November 05, 1991

DOUGLAS W. OLMS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 263

Auglas W. alms